

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/535,401	KAWAKAMI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JAMES E. MCDONOUGH	1793	

All Participants:

(1) JAMES E. MCDONOUGH.

(2) Harris Pitlick.

Date of Interview: 27 October 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/a

Claims discussed:

10-22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner asked applicants to cancell claims 10-13 and 16, and that claims 14, 15 and 17-22, would therefore be rejoined. Applicants agreed to these changes which will be made by examiners amendment.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11:00

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)